1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8

10

In re

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

2223

2425

26

27 28 FILED
MAY 3 1 2007

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 06-11069-A-7

Debtor.

Adv. No. 06-1303

CADLEROCK, LLC

Plaintiff,

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

VS.

JENNIE MARIE BECAS

JENNIE MARIE BECAS

Defendant.

A trial was held May 16, 2007, in this adversary proceeding. Defendant Jennie Marie Becas ("Becas") testified. Plaintiff's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, and 9 were admitted into evidence. Defendant's Exhibits A through H were admitted.

This memorandum contains findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52. This is a core proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2)(G) and (I).

Jennie Marie Becas filed her chapter 7 petition July 19, 2006. Cadlerock, LLC ("Cadlerock") timely commenced this adversary proceeding on November 6, 2006. The complaint seeks a determination that the obligations of Becas to Cadlerock are nondischargeable under Bankruptcy Code § 523(a)(4) and (6). It also seeks entry of judgment in the amount of

\$23,360 and possession of a fifth wheel trailer. Alternately, it seeks relief from stay. Findings of Fact.

Certain underlying facts are not in dispute. In January 2001, Jennie Marie Becas and Billy Jack Smith purchased a 1995 "fifth wheel" Snowbird Travel Trailer (the "Trailer") from Marshall's Travelland in Austin, Texas. In connection with the purchase, they executed a Retail Installment Contract and Security Agreement (the "Retail Installment Contract") with BankOne. In the Retail Installment Contract, they contracted to pay for the Trailer in installment payments of \$297.52 per month. They acknowledged that they were giving BankOne a security interest in the Trailer. They also agreed that they were required to insure the Trailer.

Pursuant to a Texas Certificate of Title, the first lienholder on the Trailer was BankOne.

Subsequently, Billy Jack Smith died. Jennie Becas placed an advertisement in a newspaper that she had a trailer to sell. She was contacted by Doug Clowes, and she agreed to sell the Trailer to him. Jennie Marie Becas and Doug Clowes executed a document entitled "Agreement To Sell Travel Trailer" on January 9, 2002. In that agreement, Clowes agreed to "take over the loan on the trailer of \$297.52 a month until the loan is paid off..." Also, Clowes gave Becas \$1,500 for the Trailer. Becas and Clowes agreed that once the loan was paid off, title on the Trailer would be transferred from Becas to Clowes.

Clowes made payments on the Trailer to the lienholder for a period of time. Eventually, he ceased making payments. In January 2005, Cadlerock became the owner of the Retail Installment Contract. Doug Clowes made his last payment to BankOne on or about February 1, 2005, in the amount of \$300. Prior to that time, in 2004, Becas and Clowes had discussed that he would start making payments through automatic deduction from his bank account. In June 2004, Becas wrote to Clowes sending him the information necessary to have payments made directly from his bank account.

However, Clowes never did make those arrangements, and the loan went into default. Becas attempted to locate Clowes without success.

In April 2006, an account officer of Cadlerock wrote to Becas demanding payment in full of all principal and past due interest owed on the Trailer. When payment was not forthcoming,

#### Case 06-01303 Filed 05/31/07 Doc 18

Cadlerock filed a complaint against Becas in Kern County Superior Court, and Becas filed chapter 7.

When Becas sold the Trailer to Clowes, she was aware that BankOne had a security interest in the Trailer. However, it was her agreement with Clowes that he was going to make payments. He made payments for a little over two years. In order to try to find Clowes after he stopped making payments, Becas called every phone number that she had for him. She also went to Texas and looked in RV parks that he was known to frequent. However, she was unable to reach him.

Prior to the time she filed her bankruptcy case, she received what she estimates to be 115 calls from Cadlerock demanding payment. After she filed her case, and after this adversary proceeding was filed, she received one telephone call at work from a representative of Cadlerock. Becas understood that Cadlerock had taken the loan over from BankOne. Becas never told BankOne that Clowes would be making the payments. However, she did contact BankOne at Clowes' request to get information about how he could make payments automatically through a deduction from his bank account. According to Becas, she did not understand that she was not supposed to sell the Trailer without paying off the lien. The Retail Installment Contract provides as an additional term that Becas and Smith represented and agreed, among other things, that they "will not attempt to sell the Property (unless it is properly identified inventory) or otherwise transfer any rights in the Property to anyone else, without a prior written consent."

Bankruptcy Code § 523(a)(4) makes nondischargeable debts "for fraud or defalcation while acting in a fiduciary capacity, embezzlement, or larceny." In seeking to have Becas's obligation declared nondischargeable under § 523(a)(4), Cadlerock is claiming that

"by delivering the Trailer to a party unknown, in effect abandoning the security and causing its loss, and by failing to maintain, preserve and insure CADLEROCK'S security, BECAS has defalcated in her duty while acting as a fiduciary of the Security which was entrusted to her, and she should be denied discharge under this debt as to the value of the Security." (Complaint at ¶ 11.)

In order to prevail, Cadlerock has the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence

### Case 06-01303 Filed 05/31/07 Doc 18

that Becas was its fiduciary and that she committed a defalcation with respect to the security.

Cadlerock has not met that burden. The relationship between Becas and the holder of the

security interest in the Trailer did not make her a fiduciary of the holder of the security interest within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(4). Collier on Bankruptcy puts it this way.

"The qualification that the debtor be acting in a fiduciary capacity has consistently, since its appearance in the Act of 1841, been limited in its application to what may be described as technical or express trusts, and not to trusts *ex maleficio* that may be imposed because of the very act of wrongdoing out of which the contested debt arose. The trust relationship must predate and exist apart from the act from which the underlying indebtedness arose.

For purposes of § 523(a)(4), the definition of 'fiduciary' is narrowly construed, meaning that the applicable state law that creates a fiduciary relationship must clearly outline the fiduciary duties and identify the trust property; if state law does not clearly and expressly impose trust like obligations on a party, the court will not assume that such duties exist and will not find that there was a fiduciary relationship....

The commonplace frauds of the ordinary debtor in disposing of property so as to hinder, delay, or defraud his creditors are not within clause (4). Nor does the phrase "in a fiduciary capacity" include or apply to trusts that are merely implied by law from contracts."

4 Collier on Bankruptcy, ¶ 523.10 (1)(c)(15th ed. Rev. 2007). Under this definition, nothing in the Retail Installment Contract makes Becas a fiduciary of the holder of the loan. Thus, Cadlerock has not met its burden of proof in its claim under § 523(a)(4).

Cadlerock also seeks to have Becas's debt declared nondischargeable pursuant to § 523(a)(6). That section makes nondischargeable a debt "for wilful and malicious injury by the debtor to another entity or to the property of another entity." Cadlerock presents its theory this way in its complaint.

"While knowing that the Trailer was security for her obligations under the Contract, BECAS wilfully and maliciously committed injury to the property of another entity by converting the Security and by disposing of and abandoning to an unknown party the Security in violation of the contract and without any permission to do so, and by allowing the Security to disappear, thereby causing its loss." (Complaint at ¶ 15.)

In order for an obligation to be nondischargeable under § 523(a)(6), it must be a debt arising from a "willful and malicious" injury. In the Ninth Circuit, an injury is "willful" "when there is either a subjective intent to harm, or a subjective belief that harm is substantially certain." In re Su, 290 F.3d 1140, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

The Ninth Circuit has also outlined the parameters of a "malicious injury."

"A 'malicious' injury involves (1) a wrongful act, (2) done intentionally, (3) which necessarily causes injury, and (4) is done without just cause or excuse." <u>Id.</u> at 1146-1147. (interior quotations and citations omitted.

Applying those standards to this case, the court concludes that Cadlerock has not met its burden of proof that the debt of Becas to it is for wilful and malicious injury. First, there is no evidence that Becas had a subjective intent to harm Cadlerock or its successor, BankOne. Nor is there any evidence that she had a subjective belief that harm was substantially certain. To the contrary. Becas believed, albeit naively, that the person to whom she sold the Trailer would continue to make the payments owed on it. She contracted with the purchaser for him to continue to make the payments. In fact, he made the payments for about two years before ceasing to make the payments. While the belief of Becas in the reliability of Mr. Clowes turned out not to be warranted, her act in selling the Trailer to him cannot be construed as a subjective intent to harm BankOne/Cadlerock or a subjective belief that harm was substantially certain.

Nor is there any evidence that her act was malicious. It is certainly true that it was wrongful to transfer the Trailer to a third party while it was still subject to the Retail Installment Contract. In fact, the Retail Installment Contract itself states (in small print and as part of lengthy "Additional Terms") that Becas agreed not to sell the Trailer until the lender had been paid. She also intentionally sold the Trailer. However, her sale of the Trailer to Clowes only caused injury because Clowes failed to make the payments. Therefore, it did not necessarily cause an injury.

Did she have any "just cause and excuse" for selling the Trailer? She testified that she sold the Trailer after her longtime companion and partner Billy Jack Smith had died. She needed the money. She lost their house as well as having to give up the Trailer. Based on her testimony and all the facts of the case, the court finds that her action in selling the Trailer was not malicious within the meaning of  $\S 523(a)(6)$ .

Cadlerock has also requested relief from the automatic stay to repossess and sell the Trailer. It is not at all clear to this court that the Trailer is property of the bankruptcy estate. It is not listed on the debtor's schedules. She sold the Trailer before she filed bankruptcy. In fact, the trustee in this case has filed a report of no distribution, and the debtor has been discharged.

## Case 06-01303 Filed 05/31/07 Doc 18

Thus, the request for relief from the automatic stay is at this point moot, and is denied as unnecessary. Counsel for Defendant shall prepare an appropriate from of judgment consistent with these findings of fact and conclusions of law. Mus 3 [ 2007 DATED: WHITNEY RIMEL, Judge United States Bankruptcy Court 

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL 1 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF FRESNO 3 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid; I am over the 4 age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is 5 2656 U.S. Courthouse, 1130 O Street, Fresno, California, 93721. On May 31, 2007, I served 6 the within document on the interested parties in said action by placing a true copy thereof 7 enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at 8 Fresno, California, addressed as follows: 9 10 James R. Knoles, Esq. BREWER & BREWER 4533 MacArthur Blvd., Ste. 707 11 Newport Beach, CA 92660 12 Frank P. Samples, Esq. 5330 Office Center Court #37 13 Bakersfield, CA 93309 14 15 I certify (or declare), under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. 16 Executed on MW 31, 2007, at Fresno, California. 17 athy Joves 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28